Marion Superior Court, Civil Division 5

Marion County, Indiana

STATE OF INDIANA)		IN THE MARION SUPERIOR COURT
COUNTY OF MARION)		CAUSE NO.
SUSAN SMITH,)	
Plaintiff,	<u> </u>	
v.	<u> </u>	
GEICO Casualty Company,)	
Defendant.)	

APPEARANCE

Attorney Paul Petro hereby enters his appearance on behalf of Plaintiff and provides the following required attorney contact information:

Paul Petro 29042-49 Petro Law Firm LLC PO Box 6389 Fishers, IN 46038 paul@petrolaw.us

Attorney's contact information maintained in the Indiana Roll of Attorneys for the purposes of Indiana's e-filing system is correct and up to date.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Paul Petro Paul Petro 29042-49 Petro Law Firm LLC PO Box 6389 Fishers, IN 46038 Attorney for Ms. Smith SUSAN SMITH,

Marion Superior Court, Civil Division 5

SUMMONS IN THE MARION COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT **STATE of INDIANA**

SUSAN SMITH,)		
Plaintiff,)		
v.)		
GEICO Casualty C	ompany,)		
Defendant.)		
	GEICO Casualty Co. c/o 334 N. Senate Av. Indianapolis, IN 46204	Registered Agent CT Corp	ooration System
You are hereby indicated above.	notified that you have bee	n sued by the person named	as Plaintiff and in the Court
		d in the complaint which is e against you by the Plainti	s attached to this Summons.
or your attorney within twenty-three (23) days i against you for the relie	twenty (20) days, common this Summons was received demanded by plaintiff.	nencing the day after you ved by mail), or a judgment	must be filed either by you receive this Summons, (or by default may be rendered
If you have a cla you must assert it in yo		aintiff arising from the sam	ne transaction or occurrence,
Dated:7/8/2020		Clerk, Marion County	Eldringe
		Clerk, Marion County	COLLAIS
(The following manner	of Service of Summons i	s hereby designated.)	THE TON COUNTY COURT
	ertified Mail ridual by Sheriff iff at place of employmer	ıt, to wit:	SEAL
111,000 001,100			MOIANA
Paul Petro, Attorney for Attorney No. 29042-49 PO Box 6389 Fishers, IN 46038 Phone: 317-989-3836	Plaintiff		

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the day of a copy of the complaint to the Defendant, GEICO Ca System, by mail, requestive Plaintiffs.	sualty Co. c/o Registered Agent CT Corporation
	Clerk, Marion County
RETURN OF SERVICE S	SUMMONS BY MAIL
(1) I hereby certify that the attached receipt was receipt the complaint mailed to the Defendant GEICO Casualty System, was accepted by the Defendant on the	eived by me showing that the Summons and a copy of y Co. c/o Registered Agent CT Corporation day of, 20
(2) I hereby certify that the attached return receipt we copy of the complaint mailed to Defendant GEICO Cass System was accepted by	on behalf of the Defendant on the day of
copy of the complaint was returned not accepted on the	day of, 20
	Clerk, Marrion County
	By:
SERVICE ACKN	OWLEDGED
A copy of the within Summons and a copy of the this day	e complaint attached thereto were received by me at of20
	Signature of Defendant

Marion Superior Court, Civil Division 5

Clerk Marion County, Indiana

STATE OF INDIANA) IN THE MARION SUPERIOR COURT
COUNTY OF MARION) CAUSE NO.

SUSAN SMITH,)

Plaintiff,)
v.)
GEICO Casualty Company,)
Defendant.

Complaint for Damages, Breach of Contract, And Insurance Bad Faith

Susan Smith, by counsel, states the following in support of her claims:

- On September 2, 2018, Cesar Trujillo was driving a Toyota Tundra pickup truck southbound on Highway 287 between Fort Collins, CO. and Boulder, CO.
- 2. Mr. Trujillo crashed into the back of a stopped Chevy Malibu, injuring both people in the Chevy, one of whom was Ms. Susan Smith.
- 3. On Sep. 2, 2018, Mr. Trujillo was insured by Allstate.
- On Sep. 2, 2018, Ms. Smith was insured by Geico Casualty Co. for uninsured/under-insured motorist benefits. A copy of the insurance policy is already in Geico's possession.
- 5. Allstate eventually paid the full amount of available insurance covering Mr. Trujillo.

- 6. Ms. Smith's damages were greater than the available insurance covering Mr. Trujillo.
- 7. Ms. Smith received extensive medical treatment in Colorado and Indiana for her injuries, which included a broken back, serious concussion, memory problems, dizziness, and headaches.
- 8. At all times, Ms. Smith has complied with Geico's requests for information.
- 9. At all times, Ms. Smith fulfilled all conditions precedent to payment of her insurance benefits.
- 10.Ms. Smith requested that Geico hold up their end of the deal and pay the remaining available insurance coverage.
- 11. Geico denied that there was any available insurance.
- 12. Geico refused to pay the available insurance benefits Ms. Smith had paid for.
- 13. Geico made unreasonable requests for irrelevant medical records
- 14. Geico ignored undisputable facts about the nature and severity of Ms. Smith's injuries.
- 15. Geico engaged in a deliberate, systematic plan of delay and denial.
- 16. Geico has a corporate policy detailing how lower level employees/adjusters are to delay and deny claims such as Ms. Smith's claim.
- 17. Geico trains lower level employees/adjusters are to delay and deny claims such as Ms. Smith's claim.

Count I - Breach of Contract

- 18.Ms. Smith incorporates paragraphs 1 through 17 in full.
- 19. Geico owes benefits to Ms. Smith under the underinsured motorist provisions of her policy.
- 20. Geico has wrongfully denied coverage for underinsured motorist benefits and refuses to pay the claim.

21. Geico owes Ms. Smith the remaining available benefits of the insurance policy she paid for.

Count II - Bad Faith

- 22. Ms. Smith incorporates paragraphs 1 through 21 in full.
- 23. Implied in the written insurance contract between Ms. Smith and Geico is a covenant of good faith and fair dealing.
- 24. Geico, through its agents and employees, breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealing by engaging in unfair claim settlement practices.
- 25. Geico misrepresented pertinent facts or insurance policy provisions relating to coverages at issue.
- 26. Geico failed to acknowledge and act reasonably promptly upon communications with respect to claims arising under insurance policies.
- 27. Geico failed to adopt and implement reasonable standards for the prompt investigation of claims arising under insurance policies.
- 28. Geico refused to pay claims without conducting a reasonable investigation based upon all available information.
- 29. Geico failed to affirm or deny coverage of claims within a reasonable time after proof of loss statements have been completed.
- 30. Geico did not attempt in good faith to effectuate prompt, fair, and equitable settlements of claims in which liability has become reasonably clear.
- 31. Geico delayed reasonable payments, requested irrelevant medical records, and offered substantially less than the amounts ultimately recovered in actions brought by such insureds, compelling Ms. Smith to institute litigation to recover amounts due under the insurance policy.

WHEREFORE, Susan Smith prays for judgment ordering Defendant, Geico Casualty Co., to pay Ms. Smith's claims for underinsured motorist benefits under the terms of the policy, for punitive damages to punish and make example of Geico's conduct, to deter future instances of unfair claims practices, for the costs of this action, and such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Paul Petro
Paul Petro 29042-49
Petro Law Firm LLC
PO Box 6389
Fishers, IN 46038
Attorney for Ms. Smith

Request for Jury Trial

Susan Smith requests that this cause of action and all issues be tried by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Paul Petro
Paul Petro 29042-49
Petro Law Firm LLC
PO Box 6389
Fishers, IN 46038
Attorney for Ms. Smith

STA	TE OF INDIAN	,	aa.					RIOR CO	URT
COU	NTY OF MAR		SS:		CIVIL DI CAUSE N			-CT-0224	13
SUSA	AN SMITH,)	**				
v.		Plaintiff,)					
GEIC	O CASUALTY	COMPAN	νY,)					
		Defendan	t.)					
		<u>APPEAR</u>	ANCE BY	ATTOR	NEY IN C	IVIL C	ASE		
Party	Classification:	Initiating	,	Respon	ding	Is	ntervenin	ıg	_
1.	The undersign the following							ear in this	case for
2.	Applicable att information as							B)(2) and	for case
	Name: Thoma Address: MET 32 S. 9 th Stree Noblesville, II	rzger ro t	OSTA LLP	_	Atty Numb Phone: <u>(3</u> Fax: <u>(317)</u> E-mail: tor	17) 219- 773-50	-4606 77		- ' - -
3.	There are other	er party me	mbers.	Yes	. No <u>√</u> (<i>I</i> ;	f yes, lis	t on cont	inuation p	oage).
4.	If first initiate following Case								
5.	I will accept se	ervice by F	AX at the a	bove no	ted number	: Y	es	No <u>√</u>	_
6.	This case invo (If yes, supply						on contin	uation pa	ge).
7.	There are relat	ed cases:	Yes	_	No <u>√</u> (<i>If</i>)	yes, list	on contir	nuation pa	ge).
8.	This form has Yes √	been serve No	d on all othe	er parties	Certificate	e of Ser	vice is at	tached:	
9.	Additional info	ormation re	equired by lo	ocal rule	: none.				

Respectfully submitted,

METZGER ROSTA LLP

By:

Thomas E. Rosta (#18858-45) Attorney for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 30th day of July, 2020, pursuant to Rule 86(G) of the Indiana Rules of Trial Procedure, the foregoing document was electronically filed using the IEFS. I certify that the following person was electronically served with the foregoing document:

Paul Petro Petro Law Firm LLC PO Box 46038 Fishers, IN 46038

Thomas E. Rosta (#18858-45)

METZGER ROSTA LLP 32 S. 9th Street Noblesville, IN 46060 (317) 219-4606

STATE OF INDIANA)) SS:		IN THE MARION SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL DIVISION 5		
COUNTY OF MARION)		CAUSE NO. 49D05-2007-CT-022413		
SUSAN SMITH,)			
Plainti	ff,)			
v.)			
)			
GEICO CASUALTY COMPANY,)			
*)			
Defend	lant.)			

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Comes now the Defendant, Geico Casualty Company, by counsel, and respectfully moves the court for an extension of time to and including August 30, 2020, within which to file an answer to Plaintiff's Complaint, and in support thereof would represent and show the court the following:

- 1. That said Defendant's response is presently due.
- 2. That no prior extensions have been requested.
- 3. That Defendant's counsel has just been retained to represent said Defendant in the above cause and will need an extension of time to and including August 30, 2020, within which to confer with his client, and be in a position to file a proper response for and on behalf of this Defendant.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant prays for an extension of time to and including August 30, 2020, within which to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 30th day of July, 2020, pursuant to Rule 86(G) of the Indiana Rules of Trial Procedure, the foregoing document was electronically filed using the IEFS.

I certify that the following person was electronically served with the foregoing document:

Paul Petro Petro Law Firm LLC PO Box 46038 Fishers, IN 46038

Thomas E. Rosta (#18858-45)

METZGER ROSTA LLP 32 S. 9th Street Noblesville, IN 46060 (317) 219-4606

STATE OF INDIANA COUNTY OF MARION)) SS:)	IN THE MARION SUPERIOR COU CIVIL DIVISION 5 CAUSE NO. 49D05-2007-CT-0224	
SUSAN SMITH, Plaintif v. GEICO CASUALTY COMP. Defend) ANY,)		
<u>ORD</u>	ER GRANTING I	EXTENSION OF TIME	
Comes now the Defe	ndant, Geico Casu	alty Company, by counsel, and having	g filed its
Motion for Extension of Time	e.		
And the Court, being	duly advised in the	premises, now grants the same.	
IT IS THEREFORE	ORDERED, ADJU	IDGED AND DECREED by the Cour	t that the
Defendant, Geico Casualty C	Company, shall hav	ve up to and including August 30, 202	20, within
which to answer Plaintiff's Co	omplaint.		
Dated:	. JUI	OGE, Marion Superior Court, Civ. Div.	.5
Distribution:		•	
Paul Petro Petro Law Firm LLC PO Box 46038 Fishers, IN 46038			

Thomas E Rosta

Tom@metzgerrosta.com

July 31, 2020

CLERK OF THE COURT
MARION COUNTY

STATE OF INDIANA COUNTY OF MARION)) SS:)		IN THE MARION SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL DIVISION 5 CAUSE NO. 49D05-2007-CT-022413
SUSAN SMITH, Plainti v.	iff,)	
GEICO CASUALTY COMI	PANY,)	
Defen	dant.)	

ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME

Comes now the Defendant, Geico Casualty Company, by counsel, and having filed its Motion for Extension of Time.

And the Court, being duly advised in the premises, now grants the same.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Court that the Defendant, Geico Casualty Company, shall have up to and including August 30, 2020, within which to answer Plaintiff's Complaint.

Dated: July 30, 2020

JUDGE, Marion Superior Court, Civ. Div. 5

Distribution:

Paul Petro Petro Law Firm LLC PO Box 46038 Fishers, IN 46038

Thomas E Rosta
Tom@metzgerrosta.com